UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,731	08/19/2003	Masamichi Ebata	03500.017487.	3791
	7590 03/12/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			PATEL, CHIRAG R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2441	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,731	08/19/2003	Masamichi Ebata	03500.017487.	3791
	7590 03/12/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			PATEL, CHIRAG R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2441	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/642,731	08/19/2003	Masamichi Ebata	03500.017487.	3791
	7590 03/12/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			PATEL, CHIRAG R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2441	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Occurrence	10/642,731	EBATA, MASAMICHI		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	CHIRAG R. PATEL	2441		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 De</u>	ecember 2008			
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>				
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6-10,13 and 15-28</u> is/are pending in	the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>20-28</u> is/are withdraw	, ,			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	THOM CONSIGNATION.			
·				
6) Claim(s) <u>1,6-10,13 and 15-19</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	•.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:				



Application No.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6-10, 13, and 15-19 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6-10, 13, and 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gase (US 5,580,177) in view of Appiah et al. – hereinafter Appiah (US 7,401,113).

As per claims 1, 10, and 19, Gase discloses an information processing apparatus having a plurality control modules, including first and second print control modules, for performing processing corresponding to printer functions, each print control module supporting a plurality of types of printers, and further having a management table that contains identification information of the first print control module, and printer type information on printers supported by the first print control module, (Col 3 lines 51 - Col 4 line 2; printer/driver table 36 that associates each printer connected to file server 16 with a printer driver) said information processing apparatus comprising:

an updating unit configured to update the management table by recording identification information of the second print control module, (Col 4 lines 10-26)

and the printer type information obtained by said obtaining unit in the management table, (Col 4 lines 10-26)

if at least one printer type of the printer type information for the second print control module obtained by said obtaining unit is identical to at least one printer type of the printer type information for the first print control module contained in the management table and if the version information of the second print control module obtained by said obtaining unit is newer than the version information of the first print control module contained in the management table; (Col 4 lines 10-26)

a recognition unit configured to recognize a printer type of a certain printer; (Col 6 lines 3-17)

a selection unit configured to select one of the first and second print control modules in correspondence with the printer type information recognized by said recognition unit by referring to the management table updated by said updating unit and (Col 6 lines 3-17)

an execution unit configured to execute the print control module selected by said selection unit. (Col 6 lines 18-44)

Gase fails to disclose to updating the management table by recording version information of the first and second print control module. Appliah discloses updating the management table by recording version information of the first and second print control

Art Unit: 2441

module. (Col 5 line 65 – Col 6 line 13) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Gohen to updating the management table by recording version information of the first and second print control module. The motivation would have been to properly identify drivers to be installed. (Col 1 lines 46-55)

As per claims 6 and 15, Gase / Appiah disclose the information processing apparatus according to Claim 1. Appiah discloses further comprising a setting unit configured to set the print control module for the version information selected by said selection unit such that the print control module can control the printer. (Col 5 line 65-Col 6 line 13)

As per claims 7 and 16, Gase / Appiah disclose the information processing apparatus according to claim 6. Appiah discloses further comprising a control unit configured to inhibit activation of a print control module for the version information that is not selected by said selection unit. (Col 5 lines 50-60)

As per claims 8 and 17, Gase/ Appiah disclose the information processing apparatus according to claim 6. Appiah discloses further comprising:

an identification unit configured to identify a first control program that controls the printer not based on a selection result by said selection unit and a second control program that controls the printer based on a result by said selection unit; and (Col 7 lines 30-41)

Page 5

an activation control unit configured to perform control such that the first control program is activated when said identification unit recognizes that the first control program exists. (Col 6 lines 51-58)

As per claims 9 and 18, Gase / Appiah disclose the information processing apparatus according to claim 6. Gase discloses wherein the information processing apparatus according to Claim 6, wherein said setting unit recognizes that the print control module can control a printer among printers supported by the print control module when a database file exists that stores control conditions and control variables for the printer. (Col 4 line 67 – Col 5 line 30)

As per claim 13, Gase / Appiah discloses the control method according to claim 10. Gase discloses wherein said recording step records the correspondence information in a table format, including the printer type information, and identification information for the print control module. Gase fails to disclose to updating the management table by recording version information. Appiah discloses updating the management table by recording version information. (Col 5 line 65 – Col 6 line 13) At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Gohen to disclose to updating the management table by

recording version information. The motivation would have been to properly identify drivers to be installed. (Col 1 lines 46-55)

## Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Teraoaka et al. (US 2003/0115302) is directed to troubleshooting a peripheral device linked with a client computer in a network environment including a server computer and the client computer connected with each other via the Internet.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chirag R Patel whose telephone number is (571)272-

Application/Control Number: 10/642,731 Page 7

Art Unit: 2441

7966. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia, can be reached on (571)272-3880.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

/C. R. P./ Examiner, Art Unit 2441 /Larry D Donaghue/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2454